IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

BECKLEY DIVISION

ANTHONY BODISON,

Petitioner,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:09-cv-01287

TODD R. CRAIG,

Respondent.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

The Court has reviewed *Petitioner's Motion for Relief Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241(a)* (Document 1). This action was referred to the Honorable R. Clarke VanDervort, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this Court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). (Document 2). On November 2, 2012, the Magistrate Judge submitted Proposed Findings and Recommendation ("PF&R") (Document 5), wherein it is recommended that this Court dismiss this action as moot, in light of the Petitioner's release from custody.

The Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo review and the Petitioner's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also Snyder v. Ridenour*, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir.1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir.1984). In addition, this Court need not conduct

a de novo review when a party "makes general and conclusory objections that do not direct the Court

to a specific error in the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations." Orpiano v.

Johnson, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir.1982). Objections to the PF&R in this case were due on

November 19, 2012. To date, no party has filed any objections to the Magistrate Judge's Proposed

Findings and Recommendation. As noted in the PF&R, Petitioner appears to have been released

from custody on November 3, 2011. Inasmuch as Petitioner did not provide the Clerk's Office with

a forwarding address, the PF&R sent to him was returned as undeliverable. (Document 6.)

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** and incorporates herein the findings and recommendation

of the Magistrate Judge as contained in the Proposed Findings and Recommendation, and **ORDERS**

that Petitioner's Section 2241 Petition (Document 1) be **DISMISSED**. The Court further **ORDERS**

that this matter be removed from the docket.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a copy of this Order to counsel of record and to any

unrepresented party.

ENTER:

November 20, 2012

IRENE C. BERGER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

2